		Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF OREGON	
3	THE HON. MICHAEL J. McSHANE, JUDGE PRESIDING	
4		
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )	
6	Government, )	
7	vs. ) No. 6:14-cr-00482-MC-1	
8	DANIEL STEPHEN JOHNSON, )	
9	Defendant. )	
10		
11	REPORTER'S EXCERPT TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
12	EUGENE, OREGON	
13	Tuesday, May 1, 2018	
14	OPENING STATEMENTS	
15	PAGES 1 - 35	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	DEBORAH COOK, RPR, CSR	
22	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 405 East 8th Avenue	
23	Suite 2130 Eugene, Oregon 97401	
24	(541) 431-4162 Deborah_Cook@ord.uscourts.gov	
25		

```
Page 2
 1
       APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
 2
       FOR THE GOVERNMENT:
 3
       Jeffrey S. Sweet
       United States Attorney's Office
 4
       405 E. Eighth Avenue, Suite 2400
       Eugene, OR 97401
 5
       541-465-6771
       Fax: 541-465-6917
 6
       Email: Jeff.sweet@usdoj.gov
 7
       Lauren E. Britsch
       U.S. Department of Justice
 8
       Criminal Division
       1400 New York Ave NW, 6th Floor
9
       Washington, DC 20530
       202-514-2220
10
       Fax: 202-514-1793
       Email: Lauren.britsch@usdoj.gov
11
       Ravi Sinha
12
       United States Attorney's Office
       1000 SW Third Ave, Suite 600
13
       Portland, OR 97204
       503-727-1014
14
       Fax: 503-727-1117
       Email: Ravi.sinha@usdoj.gov
15
       FOR THE DEFENDANT:
16
       Craig E. Weinerman
17
       Office of the Federal Public Defender
       859 Willamette Street, Suite 200
18
       Eugene, OR 97401
       541-465-6937
19
       Fax: 541-465-6975
       Email: Craig weinerman@fd.org
20
       Lisa A. Maxfield
21
       Pacific Northwest Law LLP
       1255 NW Ninth Avenue, No. 11
22
       Portland, OR 97209
       (503) 222-2661
23
       Fax: (503) 222-2864
       Email: Lamaxfield@pacificnwlaw.com
24
                               * * * * *
25
```

		Page	3
1	WITNESS INDEX		
2	Page/Line		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	EXHIBIT OFFERED INDEX		
8	EXHIBIT 271, 272, 273 OFFERED 4	9	
9	EXHIBIT RECEIVED INDEX	3	
10		40	
11	EXHIBIT 271, 272, 273 RECEIVED 4	13	
12	HIDV INCTRUCTIONS	20	
13	JURY INSTRUCTIONS 4 OPENING STATEMENT 13 OPENING STATEMENT 19	20 19 14	
14	OPENING STATEMENT 19	14	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 4 1 **PROCEEDINGS** Sunday, May 13, 2018, at 9:03 a.m. 2 3 THE COURT: Let's go on the record. MR. SWEET: Your Honor, the government has exhibits 4 271, 272 and 273. Mr. Weinerman and defense have copies of 5 6 those. They don't have any objections. Skipping over some 7 other exhibits we haven't offered yet, but for the purpose of 8 this morning, we would seek to admit 271, 272, and 273. 9 (EXHIBIT 271, 272, 273 OFFERED.) 10 THE COURT: No objection. 11 MR. WEINERMAN: No objection. 12 THE COURT: Those will be received. 13 (EXHIBIT 271, 272, 273 RECEIVED.) 14 MR. SWEET: And I will bring a copy up. 15 THE COURT: Will we be ready to call witnesses this morning? 16 MR. SWEET: Yes, Your Honor, if we could take that 17 18 15 minute break after opening, that would be helpful. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. WEINERMAN: Judge, counsel is not going to take 21 very long. We need to switch the equipment, the pack, so I 22 don't -- hopefully that will go smooth, but that may take a 23 minute or two or more. 24 THE COURT: Would you rather I have the jury go 25 back?

Page 5 1 MR. WEINERMAN: I will leave that up to the Court. I have tried it on once, hopefully I can get it on so it's 2 3 seamless, but I can't guarantee that I will pull that off. So maybe you can bail me out if it looks like I am not doing 4 5 it the right way. THE COURT: I will. 6 Ready. 7 MS. WARREN: Your Honor, I think our computer 8 problems are resolved. But it's not clear that it's showing 9 up on the big screen. 10 COURT CLERK: I don't have it published, yet. 11 MS. BRITSCH: It's just a black screen at the 12 moment -- yes, that looks fine. THE COURT: Let's get the jury, and I will give them 13 14 some instructions. 15 (JURY IN.) THE COURT: Please be seated. If I can have the 16 17 jury stand and be sworn. 18 (JURY SWORN.) 19 JURORS: We do. 20 THE COURT: Folks, you are now the jury in this 21 case. At the end of the trial I will give you more detailed 22 instructions about the law that applies to the charges in 23

this case. But right now I want to give you some preliminary instructions about your role as jurors in the case.

24

25

My voice is getting better, but I know it still

Page 6

isn't great so I appreciate your patience. When you deliberate it will be your duty to weigh and evaluate all the evidence in the case, and in the process, decide the facts. You and you alone are the judges of the facts.

To the facts as you find them, you will then apply the law as I give it to you, whether you agree with it or not. You must decide this case solely on the evidence and the law before you. You need to perform these duties fairly and impartially.

Do not allow personal likes, dislikes, sympathy, prejudice, fear, or public opinion to influence you. You should also not be influenced by any person's race, color religion, national ancestry, gender, sexual orientation, profession, occupation, celebrity, economic circumstances, or position in life or anything.

This is a criminal case brought by the United States government. The government charges Mr. Johnson with traveling in foreign commerce and engaging in illicit sexual conduct with a minor under the age 18 years, traveling in foreign commerce for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual conduct with a minor under the age of 18 years, and crossing a state line with the intent of engaging in a sexual act with a person who is under the age of 12 years.

The charges against Mr. Johnson are contained in what is called an indictment. The indictment simply

describes the charges that the government brings. The indictment is not evidence and does not prove anything.

Mr. Johnson has pled not guilty to the charges and he is presumed innocent until the government can prove he is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. In addition, Mr. Johnson has an absolute right to remain silent. He does not have to prove innocence or present evidence.

So what is evidence? The evidence you are to consider in this case consists of three things. One, the testimony of sworn witnesses who will testify from the witness stand, the exhibits that are received into evidence -- exhibits are things like notes, charts, photographs, copies of e-mail exchanges, physical things that are brought into evidence -- and any facts to which the parties agree. We call these stipulations. And at various times I may read stipulations to you, and those are simply facts that you can accept as true that the parties agreed to.

So what is not evidence? The following things are not evidence. The statements and the arguments of the attorneys are not evidence. Their statements or arguments are intended to help you understand the evidence. But if their statements are different from your own memory of the evidence, your memory must apply.

Questions and objections are not evidence.

Testimony that I instruct you to disregard is not evidence.

Page 8

Anything you may see or hear when Court is not in session, even if what you see or hear is done or said by one of the parties or by one of the witnesses, is not evidence.

We talked yesterday about you deciding this case on what you -- the evidence that is presented to you in the courtroom. There are two types of evidence. There's direct evidence and there's circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as the testimony of an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is a chain of facts pointing to the existence or nonexistence of another fact. You may base your verdict on direct evidence, on circumstantial evidence, or on both.

At various times during the trial attorneys may make objections to certain questions, or to the introduction of certain exhibits. We have Rules of Evidence that apply to testimony and to exhibits. My job is to rule on these objections. If an attorney objects to a question or an exhibit and I sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered and the exhibit can not be received into evidence. If I overrule the objection, then the question can be answered or the exhibit can be received into evidence.

If I sustain an objection, please do not try to guess as to what the answer might have been or about why the objection is made. That's not relevant. Also, there's a certain reality in the courtroom. Sometimes a question is

Page 9

asked and an answer is given faster than an attorney can say the word objection. There may be times where I will sustain an objection after you have already heard the answer.

Sometimes you may have heard an answer to several questions when I sustain an objection.

I will then turn to you and ask you to disregard the answer. This isn't Hog Warts. I can't make it fall out of your head. It's not going to disappear. But when it comes to deliberations, anything I have asked you to disregard, you cannot use in determining the facts of the case.

In deciding this case you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or part of it, or none of it. In considering the testimony of any witness you may take into account the following: One, the opportunity and the ability of the witness to see or hear or know the things testified to; two, the witness's memory; three, the witness's manner while testifying; four, the witness's interest in the outcome of the case and any bias or prejudice; five, whether other evidence contradicted the witness's testimony; six, the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all of the evidence; and seven, any other factors that bear on believability.

The weight of the evidence to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify to

Page 10

it. Yesterday before we broke I already gave you some instructions, obviously, about talking to other people about the case, talking among yourselves about the case, looking up any information, so please keep that instruction in mind as well.

At the end of the trial you will have to make your decision based on what you recall of the evidence. We have a court reporter but that doesn't mean we have a transcript of the case for you to read over during your deliberations. You will not have a transcript, so you will have to pay close attention to the testimony and remember it without the help of a transcript to read.

You may take notes if you wish. You should have note pads and pens. At any time you run out of paper or run out of pen, please just interrupt and let us know.

It is important that you folks -- I mean, you are the judges of the facts. You have to hear the facts and you have to understand the facts. If at any time you cannot hear a witness, please interrupt and ask the witness to speak up. Sometimes the attorneys, especially at the end of the day, our voices go down. Maybe you can't hear the question. Please interrupt and ask them to repeat the question. It's very important that you hear and understand what is being said.

Sometimes a witness may say something, and you hear

Page 11

the words but -- we all do this -- the words come out a little bit jumbled, and you simply don't understand what they meant to say. There's nothing wrong with you asking them to please repeat or rephrase what they have just said.

Sometimes they may be referring to "he" and "he" being maybe Mr. Green or Mr. White. Please ask to clarify if you need clarification.

You are not an independent inquisitional body. We rely on the attorneys to ask the questions. But it is important that you are able to hear and understand the answers.

Now, I have been a judge for a long time. I get tired as the day wears on, and I need breaks. Sometimes my breaks won't coincide with your breaks, so it is important if you are tired and you need a break, or to use the restroom, you need a glass of water, or you need to stretch in order to be able to better hear the testimony, please stand up and say, Judge, we need a break. Any one of you can ask for a break at any time, and we will take a break.

There will be times during the trial in which the attorneys need to speak to me about evidence. For instance, an objection may be made and I may need to talk to the attorneys before I know how to rule. We call these bench conferences. You see them on TV when the attorneys come up and talk to me at the bench, but sometimes you can overhear

Page 12

us because I have a microphone right there. There may be times where we will step into the hallway if it's a brief conference. There may be times we will ask you to step into the jury room so we can have a discussion about the evidence and about the law.

We're still working in here. We're not ignoring you. So there will be times where we're working, and you will have to wait for us.

Language other than English will be used for some evidence during this trial. When a witness testifies in a another language, the witness will do so through an official court interpreter. If the recorded evidence is presented in another language, there will be an official court translation of the recording.

The evidence you are to consider and on which you must base your decision is only the English language interpretations or translations provided through the official court interpreters or translators. Although some of you may know the non-English language used, you must disregard any meaning of the non-English words that differ from the official interpretation or translation. You must not make any assumptions about a witness or party based solely upon the use of an interpreter to assist that witness or party.

So generally speaking, we will be in trial from 9:00 to 5:00. There may be times where we have a shortage of

Page 13

witnesses and we break early. There may be times we need to finish up a witness so they don't have to come back the following day and we may go a little bit over.

Generally, we take a break at noon for an hour to an hour and a half for lunch. We will take a 15-minute break in the morning. We will take a 15-minute break in the afternoon, and certainly any other breaks that we need to.

We're now going to go into opening statements of the attorneys. The opening statements are not evidence, but is intended to be a guide that will help you understand the evidence that will be presented.

Following the opening statements, the government, who has the complete burden of proof in this case, will begin to call witnesses and begin to take testimony. So with that, the government will go first, and I will turn it over to them.

MS. BRITSCH: Thank you, Your Honor.

## **OPENING STATEMENT**

MS. BRITSCH: Control and abuse. This case is about control and abuse. This case is about the defendant, Mr. Daniel Johnson's persistent sexual abuse of Cambodian boys who lived in an orphanage that he ran, and about his trips from the United States to Cambodia to accomplish that

Page 14

abuse. This case is about SO XXX, LS X, LT XXXXXXX, ES XXX, CC X, BT XX, VS XXX, RT XX, SESX, SS XX. These are some of the boys who resided at Daniel Johnson's orphanage.

Some of these boys are young men now, and you will get to see and hear their testimony. You will get to hear them tell you about the sexual abuse, the breach of trust, and the pain they endured at the hands of Daniel Johnson.

That pain and sexual abuse lasted until Mr. Johnson was arrested in 2013. Daniel Johnson is an American citizen and he resides in Oregon. And about the early 2000s he began traveling to Southeast Asia to do Christian missionary work. He began traveling between the United States and Cambodia, and he started an orphanage called Hope Transition Center.

This case is about the control Daniel Johnson had over that orphanage. He was the director and he controlled all of the money that funded the orphanage. All of the money flowed through him. But most of all, Daniel Johnson controlled the boys who lived there.

He controlled them by slapping them and hitting them. He controlled them by threatening to kick them and their siblings out. He controlled them by threatening to falsely accuse them of crimes and call the police. He controlled them by giving them money, food, and gifts when they did what he wanted them to do. And what did Daniel Johnson do with all of this control? He used it to

systematically sexually abuse these boys.

Now Hope Transition Center moved to about three different locations in Phnom Penh, Cambodia, from about 2008 to 2013. And you are going to hear about sexual abuse here, sexual abuse here, and sexual abuse here. Different locations, same sexual abuse.

Now, this case is about SO XXXXXXX. SO XXX moved to Daniel Johnson's orphanage when he was 13 years old. SO XXX began sleeping in Daniel Johnson's bedroom and giving him massages.

You are going to hear a lot about massages in this case. And that's because Daniel Johnson used massages as an entree to sexual abuse. For SO XXX, massages escalated to Daniel Johnson touching SO XXX's penis. And then it progressed to oral sex, and attempted painful anal sex.

This abuse happened to SO XXX nearly every night he spent in Daniel Johnson's bedroom, and sometimes when he spent time in that bedroom, SO XXX received gifts like candy or ice cream.

But SO XXX was not Daniel Johnson's only victim.

This case is about LS X. LS X moved to Daniel Johnson's orphanage when he was only eight years old. At one point, Daniel Johnson summoned LS X to his bedroom and there he had LS X touch Daniel Johnson's penis and Daniel Johnson performed oral sex on LS X. This happened countless times.

Page 16

Daniel Johnson would apologize to LS X, but he continued to take LS X to his bedroom and continued to sexually abuse that boy.

This case is also about ES XXX. ES XXX is LS X's older brother, and he was 13 years old when they arrived at the orphanage. Daniel Johnson began sexually abusing ES XXX only one week after ES XXX arrived. And that abuse lasted for years, until 2013, the year Daniel Johnson was arrested.

This case is also about BT XX. BT XX was sexually abused by Daniel Johnson for many years. This included oral sex and attempted anal sex. That abuse only stopped when BT XX stopped living and sleeping in Daniel Johnson's bedroom, at which Daniel Johnson replaced BT XX with another victim, SO XXX.

You are going to hear similar stories from other boys in this case, other boys who lived at Daniel Johnson's orphanage. CC X and VS XXX were also sexually abused by Daniel Johnson. This included oral sex and anal sex. VS XXX was only 12 years old.

LT XXXXXXX and RT XX are brothers. They moved to Daniel Johnson's orphanage after their father died, because they wanted to relieve their mother of the burden of raising six children on her own.

LT XXXXXXX and RT XX were each summoned to Daniel Johnson's bedroom separately where he attempted to touch

Page 17

their genitals. LT XXXXXXX actually left the orphanage after this happened the second time, and he cried because he was so scared about leaving his little brother RT XX behind with Daniel Johnson.

Now, Daniel Johnson was arrested in December 2013 by the Cambodian National Police. At that time these boys were interviewed by the Cambodian police, and later interviewed by the FBI.

Now some of these interviews are old, and these boys were interviewed multiple times. They didn't always immediately open up about the sexual abuse they suffered, and they didn't always tell the whole truth. But these were hard things for these boys to talk about. Sexual abuse by their guardian, by a man they loved, and a man they considered their father.

These boys were poor, they were desperate. They were frightened. They longed for a father figure. And they had, and still have, conflicted feelings about Daniel Johnson. And they were dependent on his orphanage. Without that orphanage they had practically nothing.

And you will get to see these boys for yourselves.

You will get to hear their story with your own ears and decide for yourselves.

Now the sexual abuse that these boys will tell you about was confined to Daniel Johnson's bedroom. But his

Page 18

attempts to control and manipulate the boys were not. Daniel Johnson consistently preached a message of silence and forgiveness to these boys. He played on their religious devotion and he played on their love of God, and their love of Daniel Johnson.

What does this sound like? Here's an example. I don't understand why Christians cannot see they hurt all Christians when they speak bad and make more problem.

Daniel Johnson wrote that exact message in broken English to SO XXX, and asked SO XXX to post that message on his Facebook wall. And to BT XX, Peace upon you and your tongue. Daniel Johnson requested silence and forgiveness in an attempt to continue to control these boys even after he was in jail.

You may also hear evidence in this case about benefits that these victims have received, or hope to receive for testifying. But again, you will get to see these boys and you will get to hear them tell you about the sexual abuse they endured. And you are also going to hear evidence about expensive gifts and money that flowed from Daniel Johnson in jail to the victims and their families in Cambodia.

For example, Daniel Johnson offered BT XX's family \$10,000 for BT XX to recant and move back to Cambodia where Daniel Johnson could better control him. You will get to see these messages, these messages of silence, and these offers

of money and gifts in an attempt to control these victims, even from jail.

Control and abuse. This case is about Daniel

Johnson's persistent sexual abuse of boys under his care.

Control and abuse of many boys over many years. Now that

abuse stopped when he was arrested by the Cambodian National

Police, but the control and manipulation persisted even after

he was in jail.

After you hear all of the evidence in this case, the evidence of damage, control and abuse, we will ask you to return a verdict of guilty on all counts.

THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.

## OPENING STATEMENT

MR. WEINERMAN: May it please the Court, Counsel for the government, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, good morning.

Why did Daniel Johnson go to Cambodia and what did he do while he was there? That is what this case is about. Did he go there to help or did he go there to harm? Did he go to do good, or did he go to do bad? Were his intentions charitable or were his intentions criminal? The evidence will show that Daniel Johnson is an Evangelical Christian. It's not a dirty word. He traveled to Cambodia to further

Page 20

the goals of his ministry, which was to help under-privileged kids by housing them, feeding them, clothing them, and educating them.

And his purpose and intent to go to Cambodia was to serve the poor, to build churches, and to spread the gospel. This purpose and intent in traveling to Cambodia was not to harm the kids, but to help them.

He did not sexually abuse anyone in Cambodia. And the evidence will show that witnesses who are falsely accusing Daniel Johnson of sexual abuse, has -- have betrayed him in order to obtain the benefits of a better life in the United States. In other words, the privilege of immigrating to the United States.

And the evidence will show that the witnesses who are going to be testifying against Daniel Johnson are forgetting and casting aside all the good things he did for them in order for a ticket to escape the poverty and corruption of Cambodia, and obtain the privilege of immigrating to the United States.

By way of background, Daniel Johnson grew up in a Christian family in Oregon. His mother was devout and took him to church. But when his mother passed away when he was 14 years old, his heart hardened and he moved away from his faith. He dropped out of high school, and took a job at Georgia Pacific, an entry level position. Eventually worked

Page 21

his way up to being the head sawyer, but the work was not satisfying and he heard a higher calling, and he wanted to serve the poor through his faith.

So he searched for an outlet to further his desire to help people. And he found a program, Youth With A Mission. The acronym is YWAM, Y-W-A-M. And he signed up for their discipleship training school in Taiwan. It was a six-month program with an immersion into scripture, devotion to Christian fellowship building, establishing a relationship with God through Jesus Christ, and missionary work.

In Taiwan he taught English, he engaged in Coffee House Ministry, and we'll talk about that a little bit, and he performed service work through two churches he was assigned to.

After five months in Taiwan, YWAM sent Daniel to Cambodia for five weeks, for the final weeks of his training to perform outreach and service work. We all now know where Cambodia is. It borders Vietnam and Thailand. And in Cambodia Daniel met Pastor Sinai through the YWAM program. And in this photo that will be coming up, Pastor Sinai is the gentleman in the front row to Daniel's right. Not to his right -- to your right, standing next to Daniel.

Daniel performed outreach and ministry work with

Pastor Sinai in his organization called New Hope for Orphans,
in the Cambodian countryside and villages, and other

hard-to-get-to places in Cambodia.

They did service work, such as removing land mines. This all occurred in 2002. After five weeks in Cambodia, Daniel returned to Taiwan for the final weeks of his training. But he had lost a significant amount of weight. He got sick in Cambodia. He picked up a staff infection, dysentery and malaria, and he vowed never to return to Cambodia.

And while recovering in Taiwan he received a telephone call from Pastor Sinai who asked Daniel when he was going to return to Cambodia, and Daniel told him never.

Pastor Sinai asked him to pray on it, and Daniel did and eventually changed his mind, and he felt it was his calling to return to Cambodia.

He returned to Cambodia after recovering from his illness. And he worked with Pastor Sinai doing outreach missionary work, and he got to know a man named \*\* Ban Born who worked for Pastor Sinai. And Ban Born is to the left of Daniel, to your right in the back row. And Daniel met him doing outreach for Pastor Sinai.

And towards the end of his stay in Cambodia, and this is now 2002, he knew that his future lay in being a missionary in Cambodia, and he vowed to return. But he had no funding source, so he had to return to Oregon and looked for a funding source, hoping to find one so he could return

to Cambodia and do missionary work.

And he was able to find a funding source through his family, through his brother, and through his father, and in late 2002 he returned to Cambodia and stayed there until approximately May of 2003. And he was there to help Pastor Sinai's ministry, New Hope for Orphans.

Daniel worked with Ban Born who we talked about a few minutes ago, who worked at Pastor Sinai's church called the Fellowship Word of Life Church, FWLC. Daniel lived with Ban Born and his wife from approximately 2003 to 2006. He hosted missionary teams, he did local outreach, and he helped Pastor Sinai's ministry by preparing vision statements, marketing material, website design and helping Ban Born around the office.

But during these months with Pastor Sinai, Daniel got around to Phnom Penh, and was haunted by the plight of people living in garbage dumps. This is where people lived in huts that they built out of tires, plastic, garbage material, mostly widows, many with children. And he was horrified by what he saw. He saw a garbage truck pull up and dump a fresh load of garbage, and saw swarms of people searching for food and recyclables.

He saw children eating food with maggots. So he started what he called the Garbage Dump Ministry, finding a way out for widows, helping them find work in the city as

Page 24

housekeepers or cooks in people's homes. He also became a host for other missionary groups visiting Cambodia for which there were many.

He started his first Coffee House Ministry in 2005. We're going to call it the WORD House. And WORD stands for Widows and Orphan Relief and development. What is a Coffee House Ministry? It's a place to gather outside the four walls of the church, and it's an outreach to expose people to Christianity and religious dialogue, and to provide a welcoming environment to spread the gospel.

What happens in coffee houses? That's the WORD house, that is the coffee house. That's the first place. What happened there? Community outreach for young people, Bible study, English classes, computer labs, and cooking classes, actual feeding children in the community, fun, singing. And this started in 2005. This is what Daniel Johnson was doing for the Cambodian community, with hopes that the people who attended these ministries, these meetings would accept Christianity as their faith.

In 2008 Daniel met a man named Pilot Nat. Pilot attended one of the Bible studies at the Coffee House Ministry. Pilot had a license to operate a transition center or orphanage, place where kids could reside, for kids who were orphaned or whose parents were too poor to raise them.

And through Pilot's ministry, which was called

Page 25

Widows and Orphans Relief and Development, hence we're calling the first place WORD, Daniel obtained funding for Pilot through a ministry in the United States called Wings of Faith Ministry out of Texas. And he found other funding sources in the United States.

So Daniel had the funding, Pilot had the license, and Pilot moved into the WORD house. And he moved in with some of the kids who are going to wind up testifying, or will testify before you during this trial.

So with the license and with house parents, because Pilot had a wife, and they were going to act as the house parents for this first orphanage or setup, Pilot moved in and this arrangement lasted between 2008 and 2011 when Pilot decided to leave.

And he took his license to operate the center with him, but he left the kids. He left the kids with Daniel and the other adult, Pastor Sopheak, another witness that you will hear from.

So Daniel decided he was going to start his own orphanage center. And at first, he took the name, Hope Transition Center, HTC, you will hear that name frequently during this trial. And he was going to operate it under Pastor Sinai's license, New Hope for Orphans. And that was the arrangement at the beginning. Daniel worked hard to create a vision statement, a mission statement, and a board

Page 26

of directors structure for Hope Transition. He made numerous trips to the United States to raise money for this ministry, for this entity.

But he ran into a problem when he was in the United States. The house lost its lease. The house was sold and they had to move to another location while Daniel Johnson was in the United States. So they moved to a second house around July of 2011, and remained there until about August 2012. And there were two separate parts of this NHO house, New Hope for Orphans house. There was housing for boys, and there was a separate house for the girls.

But as it turned out, it was too small to accommodate all the kids, and all the demand. So late 2012, around September 2012, they moved to another location, which we're going to call the Hope Transition Center house, and they arrived there sometime in the fall of 2012 and stayed there until December of 2013. That's when Daniel Johnson was arrested.

At Hope Transitions, Daniel had his own room, slept in his own room, and the boys lived dormitory style in their own residence. And the girls did, as well. During this time, Daniel is trying to keep everything going, he needs funds, so that need took him back to the United States on occasions to go to churches all over the United States, mainly in Texas; the Calvary Baptist Church in Oregon; Coos

Page 27

Bay, the Shoreline Church and Skyline Church; California, the Tranquility First Southern Baptist Church; the West Park Baptist Church; Wings of Faith; Church of Fresno.

Going there for fund raisers. In this particular slide Daniel is making the presentation, and the boys at Hope Transition Center are there via Skype. So the people who are attending these fund raisers can see what is going on at Hope Transitions Center.

He also went to churches in Oklahoma, California, and basically how the funding worked is people in the United States would donate money through either these ministries, these churches, or directly to Daniel and deposit money in Daniel's Oregon-based credit account.

And the donors would sponsor events, like the ministries. We will talk about some medical things that were available. They would sponsor individual children, individual kids. And that worked by providing a monthly stipend to the kids, and that also involved the kids getting gifts at various times of the year, including Christmas.

But Daniel did more than helping kids, feeding them, clothing them, educating them. Daniel started ministries.

One of the most important that he started was the well ministry. Using donations from various funding sources, Daniel's ministry dug hundreds of wells in remote provinces of Cambodia to make everyday life better for the average

person, no matter what their faith or their religion.

And these wells were funded by individuals, by ministries, by families, by churches. And when wells were built, whoever was responsible for the funding would lay a stone. And these wells improved people's lives. Didn't have to walk long distances to obtain clean water, and make people's lives that much easier.

Daniel also was instrumental in building churches in different parts of Cambodia. We will talk about two. He built the churches in two of the more remote areas of Cambodia. Kampot and Prey Veng. Let's talk about Kampot. He obtained an abandoned church in Kampot, and he remodeled it, and eventually finished the remodel which resulted in a flourishing congregation in Kampot, with a community center, teaching English, and a Bible study.

He also built a church, really a whole community area with a church in Prey Veng. This is how it started, raw land that had to be cleared. This is the jungle so they have to clear the land. And then he drew up plans for this center, most of which was completed. As you will see in a minute, there's plans to build a church that was built, waiting area, dining area, bathroom adjacent to student housing, that was all built. A sport court play area, that was built and completed. A medical clinic that was in progress at the time Daniel was arrested, a school and a

pastor house, which was not started.

So the church was called the Faith Mover Mountain Church. There's the completed church. There was a waiting area, student housing, dormitory rooms, the area adjacent to the church play area where kids could play and play sports, dining, waiting area adjacent to the medical clinic, other parts of the bathroom, garden area, and then the medical clinic. That's how the medical clinic started, courtyard next to the church. And the community took advantage of it. This is in a fairly remote area of Cambodia.

More things that Daniel did for the people of Cambodia: He started clinics, fitting people for glasses, called it the eyeglass ministry, which was in Prey Veng and other locations. That's the eyeglass clinic. There was a medical support, medical clinic, did things like immunizations, urgent care, deworming. That's a problem there. And this photo is a woman who had a growth on her neck that was constricting her breathing. She had surgery that successfully removed it.

And on your right, the eye clinic, and there's someone who had surgery to try to restore the person's vision. So these -- this infrastructure was built in Cambodia. And then there were baptisms, water baptisms. Another ministry that Daniel started for those who chose to engage in that.

Page 30

Daniel had shortcomings. Daniel Johnson had shortcomings, and we have to talk about that. His intention in going to Cambodia was to do more of the building, the ministries that would affect many people's lives, building the wells, building infrastructure, building churches. He never really wanted to raise kids. He never wanted to be in the business of disciplining kids. He had no experience. He did not have children himself, and he had no experience or training to be a parent. He had no training to be a caregiver.

He wanted to support and host missionary groups and not run orphanages. He wanted to raise funding for building projects. He didn't want to be a house parent. And that was lost after Pilot moved out with his wife in 2011, and then it fell on Daniel to be the house parent, the surrogate parent for the kids.

And he was a bad disciplinarian. Imagine being a house parent with maybe one or two people helping, you have over 20 kids in a house between the ages -- boys between the ages of 8 and 15, 16. Any parent would know what a nightmare that is.

So he wasn't a good disciplinarian. He was frustrated when kids did not behave. And boys, sometimes as they are, do bad things. They break things and lie and steal. And he was too strict with the boys, and he believed

in spare the rod, spoil the child so he did engage in corporal punishment from time to time. And that was a shortcoming.

And he wasn't a very good financial manager, either. He didn't always use the money the way it should be. And he had to constantly go back to the United States to keep everything going, to get fund raising to keep the orphanage going, and do these projects because it all costs money to build, and get doctors involved.

So he was constantly going back and forth. So he was an absentee parent in many ways. So he made mistakes and we acknowledge that.

So the last topic I want to talk about is the government witnesses, and why we believe the evidence will show that they should not be believed. And there are many reasons. I will get into a few with you. First, they made many, many inconsistent statements over time. They have made statements falsely accusing Daniel Johnson of sexual abuse, and then they recanted. Some of them have -- not all of them, but many of them have done that. Made an accusation of the sexual abuse and then recanted. And then they recanted their recantations.

And then many have alleged sexual abuse, years, years after it allegedly occurred. Daniel Johnson was arrested in December of 2013. Many of these kids didn't come

Page 32

forward until 2016, three years later. And many of them -- and I will name some names -- CC XXXXXXX, BT XXXXXXXX, SO XXX XXX, ES XXXXXXXX, and others, kept communicating with Daniel Johnson on Facebook while he was in custody, in Cambodia, from December of 2013 until he was brought to the United States in December of 2014.

They communicated with him on Facebook. They told Daniel Johnson they loved him. These are people who are claiming that they were sexually abused by Daniel Johnson. They refer to him as a parent. Some took on Daniel's last name. SO XXX, we heard his name mentioned, he referred to himself as SO XXX Johnson. Others did, as well.

Many who communicated with him on Facebook closed every message with "love you." That's inconsistent that they would communicate with someone who they now claim sexually abused them.

Some of them were visiting Daniel Johnson in the Cambodian jail or prison when he was being held. PE XXXXXXX, CC XXXXXXX, LT XXXXXXXX and others, visiting Daniel Johnson who they now claim was sexually abusing them. Visiting him in prison to try to raise his spirits.

But the main reason they should not be believed is what they stand to gain by testifying in the way they are going to testify. The benefits that they have received, that they expect to receive, or will be seeking, and that's the

Page 33

eligibility to obtain legal status to live in the United States. And I think counsel used the word a few minutes ago that they are desperate.

They are desperate, but for a different reason.

They are desperate to leave one of the poorest and most corrupt countries in the world to live in the United States.

And we believe the evidence will show that they will do or say anything to be able to live here, to be able to immigrate to the United States.

And one way of doing it is to get a visa, called a T visa, which you can get if you cooperate in the investigation and prosecution of sex abuse. As we stand here right now, we're aware that at least one has obtained such a visa and that's BT XXXXXXXX. BT XXXXXXXX has been in the United States since August of 2013, almost five years. And he has not been back to Cambodia since.

And during that time, he has applied for and eventually obtained the T visa in 2016. And that enables you to stay here, it enables you to work here, it enables you to go to work here. And we believe the evidence will show that other witnesses who have never been in the United States until very recently when they were brought over here to testify in the case, are seeking or will be seeking the same thing, the ability to stay here, to get the type of visa that enables them to stay here and eventually get a green card or

permanent residence status, which makes them eligible to eventually become US citizens, if they apply.

And we believe other witnesses besides BT XXXXXXX are doing the same thing, or will be doing the same thing.

Many of them have lawyers, even before they came over here, they obtained lawyers.

So again, we don't know what the witnesses are going to say in that regard, but we believe many of the witnesses are seeking the same benefits that BT XXXXXXX has obtained.

So I agree that you need to listen to all the evidence carefully, and you need to judge the credibility of witnesses, which is very important. So just as important as what a witness says, you have to think about why they are saying it, and what they stand to gain by saying it.

So we believe after you hear all the evidence, that you will not be convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that Daniel Johnson sexually abused anybody in Cambodia, and you will not be convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that he traveled to Cambodia with the intent and dominant purpose of hurting Cambodian kids. Thank you.

THE COURT: Folks, let's take a morning break and we will get set up to testify.

All rise for the jury.

(JURY OUT.)

(END OF EXCERPT at 10:01 a.m.)

```
Page 35
1
        STATE OF OREGON
2
                          )ss
        COUNTY OF YAMHILL)
3
4
                 I, Deborah L. Cook, RPR, Certified Shorthand
5
    Reporter in and for the State of Oregon, hereby certify that
6
7
    at said time and place I reported in stenotype all testimony
8
    adduced and other oral proceedings had in the foregoing
9
    hearing; that thereafter my notes were transcribed by
10
    computer-aided transcription by me personally; and that the
    foregoing transcript contains a full, true and correct record
11
12
    of such testimony adduced and other oral proceedings had, and
    of the whole thereof.
13
14
                 Witness my hand and seal at Dundee, Oregon, this
15
    2nd day of January, 2018.
16
    /s/ Deborah L. Cook, RPR, CSR
17
18
    DEBORAH L. COOK, RPR
19
    Certified Shorthand Reporter
    OREGON CSR #04-0389
20
    CALIFORNIA CSR #12886
    WASHINGTON CSR #2992
21
22
23
24
25
```